Addressing				
From		То		
Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US		Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA		
CC		BCC	ВСС	
John Palmer/R10/USEPA/ Kathleen Collins/R10/USE Laurie Mann/R10/USEPA/	PA/US@EPA			
Description			Form Used: Reply	
Subject		Date/Time		
Re: Fw: WA temperature	question	10/16/2012 10:57 AM		
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor	
0	10,709		Kim Owens	
Processing				
Comments				
	Clear Category	Non	-responsive	
	Rel	ease		
			Printed	

Document Body

Document Log Item

Hi Helen,

I just did some additional searching through our old Washington files and it appears that Ecology has had language regarding a 20°C maximum due to human activities and no temperature increase will be allowed which will raise the receiving water temperature by greater than 0.3°C for the Pend Oreille since at least 1982. There is no detail in our justification letters approving WQS language that long ago. The language specifically using the 1-day maximum (1-DMax) appears to be more recent than 1982, but I can't find any place where we speak to that in an approval letter.

Therefore, it's probably best to rely on the recent history regarding interpretation between us and Ecology that John mentioned below.

Hope this helps.

Matthew Szelag US EPA Region 10 1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-553-5171 Helen Rueda Thanks Matt We are actually interested in anythi... 10/16/2012 08:56:41 AM

From: Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US

To: Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Collins/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Laurie

Mann/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kim Owens/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Gable/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Don

Martin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/16/2012 08:56 AM

Subject: Re: Fw: WA temperature question

Thanks Matt

We are actually interested in anything pertaining to the daily maximum criteria such as our understanding of why that criteria is appropriate, our comments on what is meant by the wording. I note that the 2004 Kalispel Standard approval documents were silent on this topic, so it may be unlikely to find anything specific in an approval document from the 90's, but we wanted to check.

Matthew Szelag Hi Helen, Here's the response I received from John 10/16/2012 08:36:44 AM

From: Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US
To: Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/16/2012 08:36 AM

Subject: Fw: WA temperature question

Hi Helen,

Here's the response I received from John.

Matthew Szelag US EPA Region 10 1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101

Phone: 206-553-5171

---- Forwarded by Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US on 10/16/2012 08:35 AM -----

From: John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US

To: Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Kathleen Collins/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/16/2012 08:12 AM

Subject: Re: WA temperature question

This language in WA's std predates revisions made in the 2000s. I'm not sure when WA adopted these provisions. However, there is some recent history on how to interpret this language. Including WA's AG office agreeing with EPA's interpretation with respect to the Pend Oreille provision below.

John

Matthew Szelag John and Kathleen, Do you recall if the language... 10/15/2012 05:34:06 PM

From: Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US

To: John Palmer/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathleen Collins/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/15/2012 05:34 PM Subject: WA temperature question

John and Kathleen,

Do you recall if the language below for the Pend Oreille was part of the large temperature action you worked on? I didn't see it, but want to make sure. If not, do you have any idea when we approved it or

have any knowledge about it? Seems like some of the basins have this language in the WQS, while others don't. I'd appreciate any information you have.

Thanks,

Matthew Szelag US EPA Region 10 1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-553-5171

---- Forwarded by Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US on 10/15/2012 05:30 PM -----

From: Helen Rueda/R10/USEPA/US

To: Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 10/15/2012 03:52 PM

Subject: Standards Approval Documents

Hi Matt

I am looking for a copy of EPA's approval of the site specific temperature criteria for the Pend Oreille River. WAC 173-201A Table 602 page 104:

"Temperature shall not exceed a 1-day maximum (1-DMax) of 20°C due to human activities. When natural conditions exceed a 1-DMax of 20°C, no temperature increase will be allowed which will raise the receiving water temperature by greater than 0.3°C"

I think this is a hangover that predates the most recent temperature standards in Washington.

I am also looking for the approval documents for the Kalispel Tribe water quality standards (in 2004 - I think).

Can you help with this?

Helen Rueda, Office of Water, Watershed Unit Region 10 USEPA 805 SW Broadway, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97205

(503) 326-3280 work (503) 326-3399 (FAX)

Notice: this template will Replacement tool informa	become DEPRECATED as ation can be found at this	EPA exits Lotus Notes for e link: <u>http://intranet.epa.go</u> v	-mail. v/ediscovery/	
Addressing				
From		То		
Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US		Eric Monschein/DC/USEPA/US@EPA		
CC		ВСС		
Description			Form Used: Memo	
Subject		Date/Time		
Monday		07/30/2012 02:52 AM		
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor	
0	1,824		Eric Monschein	
Processing				
Comments				
	Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive	
	Rel	ease		
			☐ Printed	

Body

Document Body

Document Log Item

Eric:

I'll be taking a annual leave day today. I will however be on a couple of conference calls - one at 9 on the Kalispel Temp TMDL and one at 2 on the nutrient protocol document

Document Log Ite	m		Release
	become DEPRECATED as I ation can be found at this I		
Addressing			
From		То	
Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US		Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA	
СС		BCC	
Description			Form Used: Notice
Subject		Date/Time	
Accepted: Hold: HQ-R10 visit	follow-up after Kalispel	10/05/2012 04:36 AM	
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor
0	3,095		Sarah Furtak
Processing			
Comments			
	Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive
	Rele	ease	
			Printed
Rody			

Document Body

Document Log Ite	m		Release	
Notice: this template will Replacement tool informa	become DEPRECATED as E ation can be found at this li	EPA exits Lotus Notes for e- ink: http://intranet.epa.gov	-mail. v/ediscovery/	
Addressing				
From		То		
Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/	'US	Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA		
CC		BCC		
Description			Form Used: Notice	
Subject		Date/Time		
Accepted: HQ-EPA Region Kalispel Tribe visit	n 10 follow-up after	07/26/2012 01:34 PM		
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor	
0	2,940		Sarah Furtak	
Processing				
Comments				
	Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive	
	Pole	ease		
	Keie	case		
			Printed	
ody				

Document Body

		link: http://intranet.epa.go		
Addressing				
From		То		
Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US		Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA	Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA	
CC		BCC		
Description			Form Used: Notice	
Subject		Date/Time		
Accepted: HQ-EPA Region Kalispel Tribe visit	10 follow-up after	07/30/2012 02:43 PM		
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor	
0	2,979		Sarah Furtak	
Processing				
Comments				
	Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive	
	Rel	lease		
			☐ Printed	

Document Log Item

Document Body

Notice: this template will Replacement tool inform	l become DEPRECATED as I ation can be found at this l	EPA exits Lotus Notes for e- ink: <u>http://intranet.epa.go</u> v	-mail. <u>//ediscovery/</u>	
Addressing				
From		То		
Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US		Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA		
СС		BCC		
Description			Form Used: Notice	
Subject		Date/Time		
Accepted: Next Steps po	st Kalispel Tribe	07/26/2012 01:33 PM		
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor	
0	2,178		Sarah Furtak	
Processing				
Comments				
	Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive	
	Rele	ease		
			☐ Printed	
Rody				

Document Body

Document Log Item

Document Log Iter	n		Release
Notice: this template will I Replacement tool informat	become DEPRECATED as E tion can be found at this li	EPA exits Lotus Notes for e- ink: <u>http://intranet.epa.go</u> v	-mail. <u>v/ediscovery/</u>
Addressing			
From		То	
Michael Haire/DC/USEPA/US		Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA	
CC		BCC	
Description			Form Used: Notice
Subject		Date/Time	
Accepted: Tentative Hold: follow-up after Kalispel Tri		08/09/2012 01:55 PM	
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor
0	2,796		Sarah Furtak
Processing			
Comments			
	Clear Category	Nor	n-responsive
	Rele	ease	
			Printed

Document Body

Replacement tool informat		EPA exits Lotus Notes for e- ink: http://intranet.epa.gov	
Addressing			
From		То	
Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US		Kate Kelly/R10/USEPA/US@EPA Jim Woods/R10/USEPA/US@EPA Thomas Eaton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA	
CC		BCC	
David Croxton/R10/USEPA Christine Psyk/R10/USEPA			
Description			Form Used: Memo
Subject		Date/Time	
Fw: Kalispel/EPA July 24th Meeting Materials		07/12/2012 03:40 PM	
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor
1	31,591		David Croxton
Processing			
Comments			
	Clear Category	Non	responsive
	Rele	ease	
			☐ Printed
Body			

Document Body

Document Log Item

On July 24 Deane Osterman and others representing the Kalispel Tribe will be in Wash DC to meet with a small headquarters TMDL review team. We set this opportunity up at the Tribe's request for an independent review of the Region's tentative decision to approve the Washington TMDL for temperature impairment on Pend Oreille River. Headquarters staff have been very responsive to our request for assistance and will, I believe, provide a full and objective review of the situation. The Tribe continues to challenge aspects of the state's technical methodology and believes EPA should disapprove the TMDL. As a down stream sovereign, the Tribe believes this technical disagreement constitutes a violation of their water quality standards. The Region will participate in the discussion by phone.

Concurrently the Tribe has submitted FOIAs via their outside legal counsel requesting all documents pertaining to the development of the TMDL and otherwise appears to be preparing for a possible challenge depending on our final approval/disapproval decision.

Will keep you posted on the outcome of the discussion next week in DC

----- Forwarded by Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US on 07/12/2012 02:58 PM -----

From: Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US

To: dosterman@kalispeltribe.com,

Cc: Mike Bussell/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, David Croxton/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Don

Martin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/06/2012 03:19 AM

Subject: Re: Kalispel/EPA July 24th Meeting Materials

Dear Deane,

Thank you for sending along the draft materials.

Attached please find our markup (additions in blue) of the Kalispel Tribe's draft agenda showing specific location information for July 24, participants on our informal HQ technical review team, other HQ participants, and participants (via phone) from EPA Region 10. We've also made one change to the "Questions Presented" section to reflect our understanding that a primary purpose of the July 24 meeting is to provide the tribe an opportunity to explain to the EPA HQ technical review team why it believes each of the four subparts to the "Questions Presented" is true. We have not made any change to the objective in your draft agenda as we interpret that to be the Kalispel Tribe's desired outcome, and not necessarily EPA's meeting objective.

We will plan to have a laptop, projector and screen, and Internet connection (not wireless) for delivery of the Kalispel Tribe's presentation. When I receive the presentation about July 17, I will load this onto the laptop and have it ready for you to present during the visit.

It is our original understanding and our working assumption that this visit is the Kalispel Tribe's opportunity to present technical and legal issues the Kalispel Tribe has identified with the Pend Oreille temperature TMDL to the informal HQ review team. As I mentioned earlier, EPA HQ review team plans to listen carefully to the Kalispel Tribe's presentation in order to understand as fully as possible the issues the tribe has identified. As necessary and appropriate, members of the review team may ask clarifying questions. During the meeting, members of the review team do not expect to debate technical or legal points with the tribe's presenters or arrive at any decisions regarding the validity of the tribe's points. From EPA HQ's perspective, we view the meeting as primarily an opportunity to better understand the tribe's perspective on this TMDL, from the tribe's own experts. We see our role ultimately as providing legal, technical, and policy input to the Region, as it reviews the Washington state TMDL.

As I mentioned earlier, we expect that, shortly after our meeting on the 24th, we will follow up with the Region regarding our collective thoughts as the Region makes its final decision. We see our role as providing input to the Region, as EPA HQ and Region 10 work together in close coordination.

In your email, you seek agreement to three "basic assumptions" before the meeting on July 24. Without more information from tribal representatives about these assumptions, we do not believe that will be possible. At the meeting, we would be happy to listen to

your perspective on the "basic assumptions" to provide us a better understanding of how they apply, in particular, to our review of this TMDL.

Regarding your statement that "if it becomes necessary to debate the technical merits of cumulative frequency analysis, we would need to schedule a follow-up meeting," while we do not see this meeting as an occasion for a "debate" about the legal or technical aspects of this TMDL, we would find it very helpful for the tribe to present a full explanation of its critique of Washington state's methodology and justification of its own methodology. Indeed, the reasonableness of the methodology employed appears to be central to each of the four subparts to your Questions Presented within the draft agenda.

We look forward to Kalispel Tribe's visit, and please let me know if you have any further questions about the substance and logistics of this meeting.

Cordially,

Sarah Furtak

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds Assessment and Watershed Protection Division Watershed Branch EPA West Building, Room 7330-A 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 Phone: (202) 566-1167

-----Deane Osterman <dosterman@kalispeltribe.com> wrote: -----

To: Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

From: Deane Osterman < dosterman@kalispeltribe.com>

Date: 06/29/2012 02:15PM

Cc: Zach Welcker <zwelcker@kanjikatzen.com>, Rick Desimone <rick@desimonecg.com>, Mike

Bussell/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: Kalispel/EPA July 24th Meeting Materials

Dear Sarah:

I have attached the Tribe's objective and proposed agenda for our upcoming meeting on July 24, 2012. If you could insert the names and titles of all attendees from EPA, as well as the specific location of the meeting, that would be great. Please also confirm that you will have A/V equipment on hand to enable us to deliver our presentation. This is an important and expensive trip for us out to D.C., so we're really hoping to make our two hours together count. To help achieve this end, we are preparing and will provide you with a copy of our presentation at least one week in advance of our meeting. We think it would help facilitate a more efficient meeting

if the panelists could review that presentation, along with the Tribe's March 13, 2012 letter and November 30, 2011 presentation to EPA, prior to the meeting. Such review will help minimize the amount of time we need to spend on background issues and allow us to focus on the questions presented to the panel.

I also think our meeting will be more productive if we can agree to three basic assumptions beforehand. These include:

- 1. In the context of a TMDL for a multi-jurisdictional waterway, EPA requires an upstream sovereign to meet the water quality standards of a downstream sovereign.
- 2. EPA will reject an upstream sovereign's determination of compliance with the downstream sovereign's water quality standards where the methodology underlying that determination contravenes downstream standards.
 - 3. For purposes of questions 1 and 2, EPA treats states and tribes with treatment-as-a-state status identically.

Finally, I want to make it clear that the Tribe is primarily interested in discussing the Pend Oreille River Temperature TMDL for consistency with national TMDL policy and state and tribal water quality standards on the basis of the record before EPA. If it becomes necessary to debate the technical merits of cumulative frequency analysis, we would need to schedule a follow-up meeting. We hope that the panel is selected with this understanding in mind. Additionally, we would appreciate a response to the questions and assumptions posed in this letter.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Regards,

Deane Osterman, Executive Director

dosterman@knrd.org

www.knrd.org

v 509.447-7282

c 509.993.0879

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at $(866)\ 411-4$ EPA (4372). The TDD number is $(866)\ 489-4900$.

******************** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED ******************

[attachment "Objective and Agenda.docx" removed by Sarah Furtak/DC/USEPA/US] [attachment "Pend Oreille River Temperature TMDL Meeting 11 30 11 Final.pptx" removed by Sarah

W

Furtak/DC/USEPA/US] jul_5_2012_mark_up_kalispel_agenda_draft.docx